A Practical Exploration of Generational Perceptions of Green Marketing and Recycling

Lauren Conley
M.S., Marymount University, United States
lcc86672@marymount.edu

ABSTRACT: This study explores how generational cohorts engage with green marketing in the context of recycling behavior, uncovering deep-seated skepticism, infrastructural challenges, and differing value orientations. Through a qualitative focus group involving representatives from Baby Boomers, Generation X, Millennials, and Generation Z, the research investigates the psychological, economic, and structural barriers that mediate sustainable consumer behavior. Grounded in the Theory of Planned Behavior and enriched by neurocognitive and affective perspectives, the findings highlight key generational distinctions: Generation Z expressed acute distrust towards performative sustainability claims; Millennials and Generation X exhibited cautious optimism conditioned by affordability and access; and Baby Boomers relied more on brand heritage and traditional media for sustainability validation. The study identifies systemic issues, such as inconsistent recycling infrastructure and unclear guidelines, as common frustrations across all groups. Practical, organizational, and policy recommendations are proposed, including enhanced recycling accessibility, emotionally authentic marketing strategies, and incentive-driven policy interventions. This inquiry offers actionable insights for corporations, educators, and policymakers aiming to design generationally responsive sustainability messaging that fosters long-term environmental engagement.

KEYWORDS: green marketing, generational behavior, sustainability, recycling, consumer trust, environmental psychology, marketing psychology, consumer behavior, behavioral economics

JEL Codes: M31, Q56, D12, D83

Introduction

In an era marked by escalating environmental challenges, such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and resource depletion, the imperative for sustainable consumption practices has become increasingly urgent. Corporations have responded by adopting green marketing strategies, aiming to influence consumer behavior toward more environmentally friendly choices (Peattie & Crane, 2005). Despite the proliferation of sustainability messaging, public engagement with recycling initiatives remains inconsistent across generational cohorts.

Recycling, often considered a fundamental pro-environmental behavior, serves as a practical indicator of the effectiveness of green marketing campaigns. However, participation rates vary significantly among different age groups. For instance, Baby Boomers often exhibit higher recycling rates, attributed to established habits and a sense of civic duty (Barr, 2007).

Emerging research suggests that these disparities are not solely due to differences in access to recycling infrastructure or economic incentives. Psychological factors, such as skepticism toward corporate greenwashing, social identity, and cognitive engagement with marketing messages, play crucial roles. For example, Baby Boomers may respond more favorably to messages emphasizing responsibility and legacy, whereas Millennials and Generation Z are more influenced by campaigns that align with personal identity and social justice themes (White et al., 2019). Neurocognitive studies further reveal that sustainability messaging activates different brain regions depending on the recipient's age and value system, underscoring the importance of tailored communication strategies (Plassmann et al., 2012). Despite a growing body of literature on green consumer behavior, a significant research gap persists in understanding how generational neurocognitive responses to marketing stimuli mediate sustainable behaviors. While marketing scholars have extensively examined the general effectiveness of green branding (Peattie & Crane, 2005), few studies have delved into the age-related psychological and neurological factors that influence the reception and internalization of environmental messages, particularly concerning habitual actions like recycling.

This paper aims to address this gap by exploring how Baby Boomers, Generation X, Millennials, and Generation Z cognitively and emotionally process green marketing messages and how these processes inform their recycling behaviors. By integrating insights from environmental psychology, behavioral economics, and neuromarketing, this research seeks to develop a comprehensive framework for designing more targeted and effective sustainability campaigns that resonate across generational lines.

Problem Statement

Although sustainability has become a cornerstone of corporate identity, a growing body of evidence indicates that generational consumers often view green marketing initiatives with suspicion. For instance, members of Generation Z frequently perceive such messages as performative, whereas older generations may discount them due to unfamiliarity with digital platforms or entrenched value systems. These reactions are compounded by logistical frustrations, such as inconsistent recycling guidelines and limited access to facilities, which further impede environmentally responsible behavior. The resultant misalignment between marketing intent and consumer reception reflects a critical gap in understanding

the psychological and structural barriers to sustainable action (Chen & Chang, 2020; Di et al., 2021).

Purpose Statement

The primary aim of this inquiry is to elucidate how individuals from four distinct generational cohorts perceive and respond to green marketing messages, particularly in the context of recycling. By analyzing qualitative data from a structured focus group and drawing upon theoretical frameworks from psychology, social science, and neuroscience, this study seeks to uncover the underlying mechanisms, both cognitive and contextual, that inform generational engagement with sustainability discourse. In doing so, it aspires to contribute to a more tailored and effective strategy for sustainability communication.

Significance of the Inquiry

The implications of this research extend beyond marketing efficacy to the domains of policy-making, educational outreach, and environmental advocacy. Understanding how diverse age groups interpret and act upon sustainability messaging is vital for crafting interventions that resonate across demographic lines. Furthermore, this inquiry provides insight into the neurocognitive and affective dimensions of consumer trust, highlighting how emotional valence and perceived authenticity influence behavior. These findings may inform the development of more nuanced and impactful sustainability strategies, thereby enhancing public participation in environmental initiatives.

Theoretical Frameworks

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), developed by Icek Ajzen (1991), offers a robust psychological framework for predicting and explaining human behavior in specific contexts, including environmentally sustainable practices such as recycling. At its core, TPB posits that behavioral intention, the immediate antecedent to action, is determined by three interrelated components: attitude toward the behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control.

- Attitude toward the behavior reflects an individual's positive or negative evaluation of performing the behavior. For instance, a young professional from Generation Y (Millennials) may possess a favorable attitude toward recycling due to a strong belief in the urgency of climate change mitigation.
- Subjective norms refer to perceived social pressures or expectations from significant others. A member of Generation Z may feel compelled to recycle because peers on social media platforms express support for sustainability and showcase their own recycling habits.
- Perceived behavioral control captures the perceived ease or difficulty of performing the behavior, influenced by access to resources and self-efficacy.

For example, Baby Boomers who have convenient curbside recycling may feel more empowered to participate regularly. Ajzen (1991) argues that these components interact to shape one's intention to perform a behavior, which then predicts actual engagement, assuming that the individual has volitional control over the behavior.

Research applying TPB in the context of sustainability has repeatedly confirmed its utility. A meta-analysis by Armitage and Conner (2001) found that TPB accounted for a significant portion of the variance in behavioral intentions and actual behavior across diverse domains, including environmental actions. Specific to recycling, studies have shown that individuals with strong pro-environmental attitudes and a sense of social obligation are more likely to intend to recycle and follow through with the behavior (Nigbur, Lyons, & Uzzell, 2010). Moreover, perceived barriers, such as unclear recycling protocols or limited facility access, can significantly undermine perceived behavioral control, thus weakening the link between intention and behavior.

Critically, generational differences intersect with TPB constructs in meaningful ways. Younger consumers, especially those in Generation Z, often demonstrate high concern for environmental issues but may be deterred by perceived inauthenticity in corporate sustainability messaging, diminishing their favorable attitudes and trust in the behavior's broader impact (Sarkis, 2012). Conversely, older cohorts may display stable recycling habits rooted in long-standing social norms but exhibit lower responsiveness to new digital campaigns due to differences in media literacy or motivational framing (Joshi & Rahman, 2015). Thus, TPB provides a valuable lens through which to understand not only the psychological mechanisms underlying recycling behavior but also the nuanced ways these mechanisms vary across generational cohorts. This theoretical grounding is essential for designing green marketing campaigns that resonate cognitively and emotionally with their intended audiences.

Cognitive Dissonance Theory

Cognitive Dissonance Theory offers a compelling framework for understanding how internal psychological conflict can catalyze shifts in consumer behavior, particularly in environmentally relevant contexts. According to Harmon-Jones and Mills (2019), Cognitive Dissonance Theory posits that individuals experience psychological discomfort, referred to as dissonance, when their behaviors are misaligned with their internal beliefs or values. This discomfort generates a motivational drive to restore internal consistency, either by changing attitudes, modifying behaviors, or rationalizing the discrepancy (Harmon-Jones & Mills, 2019). For example, a Generation X consumer who actively supports environmental causes might feel significant dissonance upon realizing they've bought bottled water in single-use plastic. This moment of recognition, particularly if amplified by visual cues such as overflowing recycling bins or social

media discourse on plastic waste, can lead to cognitive discomfort strong enough to trigger future behavioral adjustments. In response, the individual may begin carrying a reusable bottle, not solely due to ecological awareness, but to reconcile the internal tension between their environmental identity and prior consumption choices. Thus, dissonance does not merely explain inconsistent behavior; it can act as a catalyst for long-term behavioral realignment when consumers are aware of and committed to specific value structures. By integrating Cognitive Dissonance Theory into the analysis of sustainable consumer behavior, particularly across generational cohorts, marketers and policymakers can better anticipate and influence decision-making patterns. When green marketing campaigns are designed to subtly highlight the gap between consumer values and actual behavior, without inducing defensiveness, they may more effectively leverage dissonance as a motivational force toward sustainability.

The Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM)

The Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM) provides a valuable framework for understanding how individuals process persuasive messages, offering critical insights into the varying effectiveness of sustainability campaigns across generational cohorts. Originally developed by Petty and Cacioppo (1986), the ELM posits that individuals process persuasive messages through either a central route, which involves deep cognitive engagement with message content, or a peripheral route, which relies on surface-level cues such as aesthetic appeal or the credibility of the messenger. The central route leads to more enduring attitude changes, whereas peripheral processing often results in more temporary shifts. Research suggests that message relevance, cognitive capacity, and motivation to process information determine which route is taken (Petty et al., 2009). This model is particularly applicable in analyzing responses to green marketing, where the same message may evoke radically different processing strategies depending on the audience's engagement level and media consumption habits.

Consider the example of a Generation Z consumer encountering a sustainability message in a TikTok video sponsored by a fashion brand. If the content merely features a popular influencer endorsing a vague "eco-friendly" label without concrete evidence, such as lifecycle analysis or verified certifications, this message is likely to be processed peripherally. The viewer's attitude change may depend more on the influencer's charisma or production quality than the environmental merits of the product. In contrast, when confronted with a well-documented campaign that explains how the brand has reduced water usage in denim production, the same individual may engage in central processing, provided they are sufficiently motivated and the message is framed in a way that speaks to their values (e.g., social justice, climate activism). Generation Z, known for digital fluency and selective attention, often oscillates between these processing routes depending on content authenticity and platform context. Thus, sustainability

messaging that lacks informational depth but leans heavily on aesthetic or emotional cues may fail to generate lasting behavioral change among more critically engaged audiences. Understanding which elaboration route a target audience is likely to employ enables marketers and environmental advocates to tailor their strategies accordingly. For younger, media-savvy consumers like those in Generation Z, effective green messaging must balance visual engagement with substantive, verifiable content to encourage deeper cognitive processing and longer-term commitment to sustainable behaviors.

Neuroeconomics

Neuroeconomics, an interdisciplinary field combining neuroscience, psychology, and economics, provides a critical lens through which to understand the often-competing forces of immediate gratification and long-term sustainability in consumer decision-making. Central to neuroeconomic theory is the concept of reward circuitry, which refers to the network of brain regions, including the ventral striatum, orbitofrontal cortex, and medial prefrontal cortex, that process value and reinforce behavior through dopaminergic signaling (Montague et al., 2006). These neural systems are activated when individuals anticipate or receive rewards, such as convenience, low prices, or social approval. Functional neuroimaging studies have shown that these reward pathways are more responsive to immediate, tangible incentives than to abstract, delayed outcomes like environmental preservation (Knutson et al., 2007). This helps explain why consumers may knowingly choose single-use plastics or fast fashion despite endorsing pro-environmental values.

For example, a young urban consumer might be fully aware of the environmental cost of fast-food packaging yet still opt for it due to the immediate rewards such as quick service, affordability, and sensory pleasure. The brain's reward system assigns high value to these instantaneous gratifications, often overwhelming the cognitive evaluation of long-term environmental impacts. In contrast, if sustainable choices are framed in a way that also activates these neural reward circuits, such as offering visible social recognition, gamified eco-points, or immediate cost savings, then the likelihood of sustainable action increases (Sescousse et al., 2013). In this context, green marketing that emphasizes emotionally rewarding experiences, like participating in community cleanups or sharing sustainability achievements online, can align ecological behaviors with neurologically encoded pleasure, especially among generations conditioned by digital immediacy and feedback loops. Incorporating insights from neuroeconomics into sustainability messaging enables a more biologically attuned approach to behavior change. By designing interventions that trigger reward systems through immediate and meaningful incentives, marketers and policymakers can bridge the gap between environmental ideals and consumer action, making sustainability neurologically desirable rather than just ethically compelling.

Methods

Research Design

This study employed a qualitative focus group methodology designed to capture and interpret the nuanced generational perspectives on green marketing and sustainable consumer behaviors, particularly recycling. A central aim of the research was to understand how individuals from distinct generational cohorts cognitively and emotionally engage with green marketing initiatives, and to identify the psychological and structural barriers that influence their recycling behavior. A focus group format was chosen for its ability to elicit rich, dialogic data, uncovering not only individual opinions but also socially constructed meanings that emerge in collective discussion. The study adopted a constructivist paradigm, grounded in the belief that perceptions of sustainability are socially mediated and contextually situated.

Participants

A purposeful sampling strategy was employed to recruit four participants, each representing a distinct generational cohort: Baby Boomers (n=1), Generation X (n=1), Millennials (n=1), and Generation Z (n=1). Participants were selected based on their self-identified familiarity with green marketing and personal involvement in or concern about recycling practices. While the sample size was intentionally small to enable in-depth thematic exploration, participants were carefully chosen to reflect diversity in age, values, and environmental engagement. This stratified generational composition allowed for meaningful intergenerational comparison and the extraction of cohort-specific themes.

Data Collection and Transcription

The focus group session, conducted via Zoom, lasted approximately 60 minutes and was recorded with participants' written consent. The virtual setting facilitated geographical flexibility while preserving interactive dynamics. Audio recordings were transcribed verbatim, and all personally identifiable information was anonymized to ensure participant confidentiality. Transcripts were cross-verified for accuracy before being imported into NVivo 12 for qualitative coding and thematic analysis.

Data Analysis

A hybrid thematic analysis approach was utilized, integrating both deductive and inductive coding strategies to yield a comprehensive interpretive framework. Deductive codes were drawn from theoretical constructs grounded in the Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1991) and models of pro-environmental action (Bamberg & Möser, 2007). These predefined codes included:
- *Recycling Habits and Barriers*
- *Generational Perceptions*

- *Green Marketing Receptivity*
- *Economic and Convenience Considerations*

Inductive themes emerged directly from participants' narrative data and reflected more nuanced, often emotionally charged, dimensions of sustainability engagement. Notable emergent categories included:

- *Emotional dissonance and guilt related to recycling lapses*
- *Frustration with inconsistent or inaccessible recycling infrastructure*
- *Distrust of performative sustainability marketing*
- *Calls for institutional accountability in sustainability efforts*

NVivo's matrix coding function enabled cross-case analysis by cohort, allowing for visualization of thematic frequency and comparative generational emphasis. This analytic approach ensured both depth and rigor in tracing how different age groups articulate and process sustainability messaging.

Results

Consumer Skepticism Toward Green Marketing Initiatives

A salient theme that emerged across all generational cohorts was a shared skepticism toward corporate green marketing claims. While the underlying rationale differed by age group, participants uniformly expressed hesitation about the authenticity of sustainability messaging.

For Generation Z, the skepticism was particularly acute. Participants in this cohort frequently described green marketing as performative and commercially motivated, often citing examples of brands promoting eco-friendly packaging while engaging in larger environmentally harmful practices. One participant referenced a popular beverage brand's claim to use recyclable materials, stating, "It feels like they're just saying what people want to hear, but they're still selling plastic bottles by the millions." This highlights a growing demand among younger consumers for congruence between brand values and corporate action.

Older participants, such as those from Generation X and Baby Boomer cohorts, conveyed a more institutional form of distrust, often tied to broader disenchantment with digital media and corporate transparency. For these participants, the absence of credible third-party verification or inconsistencies between marketing rhetoric and actual business practices triggered disengagement. Moreover, the perceived complexity and inconsistency of recycling systems, including differing rules across municipalities, intensified doubts about whether individual efforts truly make a difference.

Despite these reservations, participants across generations noted that when sustainability campaigns were aligned with visible action and embedded in organizational culture, their impact was more persuasive. Participants indicated a preference for messaging backed by data transparency, third-party certifications, and community investment.

These findings underscore the importance of emotional resonance, perceived authenticity, and institutional trust in shaping the effectiveness of green marketing. They further affirm the value of a qualitative focus group design in eliciting rich, intersubjective insights into generational cognition and consumer behavior.

A participant from Generation Z remarked: "It's hard to tell whether companies are truly sustainable or just greenwashing."

While the participants from the Millennial and Generation X cohort expressed more moderate skepticism and will take the companies' claims at face value. In contrast the Baby Boomers participant questioned the authenticity of the green marketing messages and held more value in the brand reputation and traditional marketing channels when assessing corporate sustainability claims.

Economic and Convenience Barriers to Sustainable Purchases

Across all participants, economic constraints emerged as a pivotal barrier to consumer behavior around green consumption. Participants from both Millennial and Generation X cohorts expressed a willingness to pay a premium for eco-friendly goods but noted affordability remained a limiting factor (Bigliardi et al., 2020). A Generation X participant stated: "You know, we weren't bringing in as much money as we were before. Yet I still made choices that cost us more financially because it was important to me." While the Baby boomer participant displayed the highest reaction towards price sensitivity and purchase products based on their price and if they were ethically sourced.

Impact of Social Media on Sustainability Awareness

Social media was a reoccurring primary tool for sustainability information for consumers from Generation X and Millennials. Participants in these groups cited influencer-driven campaigns as more trustworthy and where they get their information around green messages or products that claim to be green (Almohaimmeed, 2019).

A Generation X participant shared: "I have followed influencers who talk about sustainability, and they have changed how I think about green products." Conversely, the Baby Boomer participant reported lower engagement with digital marketing and instead relied on traditional advertising, emotional and ethical appeal in marketing, and product labeling.

Recycling Infrastructure and Consumer Frustration

Participants across all generations expressed frustration with recycling systems, citing inconsistent municipal policies and unclear guideline, and frustration that their efforts won't impact the state of recycling would be cited as barriers to effective recycling behavior (Di et al., 2021). A Generation X participant commented: "I remember recently we were someplace that had zero recycling bins. I was saving up all the things we were using to pack in our bag to bring back home so I could recycle it."

A Generation Z participant commented: "At the end of the day, are they able to salvage any of that recycled material? Has it been dumped on all over by whoever put their trash in that bin and cheese fries or something? You know, I can't imagine that this recycling rate, success rate is very high for community bins." Those living in apartments or urban settings reported feeling disconnected from the recycling process due to limited access to recycling facilities. This data aligns with research suggesting that structural limitations reduce individual recycling participation rates (Adeyanju et al., 2021).

Discussion of the Focus Group Findings

The focus group findings illuminate the generational differences when it comes to values, perceptions, willingness to pay a premium, and frustration around the current state of recycling in the United States. There are differences between the generations when it comes to sustainable purchasing and recycling behaviors, while the overall skepticism among the youngest and oldest generations aligns with previous research on consumer distrust in corporate sustainability claims (Chen & Chang, 2020). These qualitative findings suggest that companies must adapt and change their green marketing strategies to build transparency and credibility when promoting sustainability messaging. Frustration with consistent education and knowledge of what consumer products can be recycled would impact their overall recycling behavior. Publicly shared spaces such as apartments, urban structures, events, and concerts make recycling hard and inconvenient for participants. Frustration emerged as a common theme among participants as they cited unclear guidelines and the overall inconvenience for consistent recycling behaviors. Previous literature suggests that clearer recycling guidelines and improved access to recycling facilities could enhance public participation (Di et al., 2021). Economic constraints remained a pivotal barrier for consumption from green marketing initiatives across the youngest and the oldest generations interviewed. Existing literature supports these findings in price-sensitive green purchasing decisions (Bigliardi et al., 2020). Policy interventions, such as tax incentives for sustainable products that are capable of easy recycling practices, may mitigate affordability concerns. The growing influence of social media on sustainability engagement, particularly among Generation X and younger consumers, could be a more effective method in the promotion of green marketing initiatives to shape consumer behavior (Almohaimmeed, 2019).

Practical Implications

The findings from this generational focus group reveal actionable insights for improving consumer engagement in sustainability behaviors, most notably recycling and sustainable purchasing. Across all cohorts, participants voiced frustration with inconsistent recycling systems, limited infrastructure, and a lack of

clear educational outreach. These barriers suggest that practical interventions must focus on enhancing visibility, accessibility, and clarity in sustainable actions. For example, publicly available visual guides near bins in shared spaces (like apartment complexes or public parks) could help reduce confusion and increase participation.

Additionally, the emotional and cognitive dissonance expressed by participants, particularly from Generations X and Z, illustrates the potential to leverage emotionally resonant messaging that emphasizes personal accountability while reducing guilt. For practitioners, this suggests a two-pronged approach: (1) reduce consumer friction through infrastructure improvements and (2) deliver value-aligned, authentic messaging that sustains behavioral motivation. Finally, practitioners must consider economic sensitivities: offering affordable sustainable alternatives or communicating the long-term value of eco-friendly products could bridge the intention-action gap for cost-conscious consumers.

Organizational Implications

For corporations and brands invested in sustainability, the research reinforces the urgent need to evolve beyond symbolic gestures of green marketing and towards substantive, transparent engagement with environmental values. Participants across generations, especially Generation Z, expressed skepticism toward sustainability claims not backed by tangible actions or third-party verification. This suggests that to build trust and long-term loyalty, organizations must embed sustainability into their operational DNA, not just their branding.

Practical strategies include disclosing environmental impact metrics, pursuing credible certifications (e.g., Cradle to Cradle, B Corp), and actively showcasing corporate investment in recycling infrastructure or community initiatives. For instance, a company might share lifecycle assessments of its products or demonstrate how packaging innovations reduce landfill contributions. Moreover, given the rising influence of social media on consumer perception, brands should consider collaborating with authentic sustainability influencers and promoting transparent narratives across digital platforms, particularly to reach Generation X and Millennials. Organizational training should also reflect this shift: marketing, supply chain, and customer service teams should be equipped with up-to-date sustainability literacy to ensure coherence between corporate claims and consumer experience.

Policy Implications

From a policy standpoint, this study underscores the role of governmental and municipal actors in removing structural barriers to recycling and incentivizing sustainable behavior. Participants across all generational cohorts cited recycling as difficult, confusing, or inaccessible, especially in urban settings, multi-unit housing, and public venues. These accounts support broader findings that fragmented

recycling policies inhibit consistent behavior (Di et al., 2021). As such, standardizing recycling guidelines at the national or state level, supported by educational campaigns, could significantly enhance consumer clarity and participation. Economic accessibility has also emerged as a central concern, particularly for Baby Boomers and Millennials. To address this, policymakers could implement targeted subsidies, tax incentives, or rebates for consumers purchasing certified sustainable products or using refillable systems. These incentives would directly address affordability gaps and promote broader adoption of green consumer behaviors. Additionally, given the influential role of social media in sustainability awareness, especially among Generation X and Z, policymakers should consider partnering with digital platforms and influencers to distribute verified environmental information and promote recycling programs. Just as public health campaigns have embraced digital literacy to reach younger demographics, environmental agencies can do the same to ensure messaging keeps pace with changing media habits.

Generational Insights on Green Marketing and Recycling Framework

The model organizes insights across four key domains of influence: Psychological, Structural, Economic, and Informational.

Domain	Generation Z	Millennials	Generation X	Baby Boomers
Psychological (Perceptions, Emotions)	High skepticism toward greenwashing; desire for congruence between values and actions	Moderate skepticism; value-driven but expect transparency	Moderate skepticism; emotional commitment with pragmatism	Traditional trust in brand reputation; cautious of digital messaging
Structural (Recycling Access, Infrastructure)	Concern about contamination in public bins; limited access in apartments	Frustration with inconsistent systems; wants clearer instructions	Effort to maintain habits despite poor infrastructure (e.g., storing recyclables to take home)	Concerned with access but reliant on curbside services; less adaptive to tech-based systems
Economic (Affordability, Cost Sensitivity)	Wants to buy green but constrained by budget	Willing to pay more but sensitive to long-term financial trade-offs	Price-conscious but willing to prioritize values	Highly price-sensitive; ethical but cost-driven choices
Informational (Social Media, Messaging)	Relies on influencers; questions message authenticity	Influenced by digital media; seeks socially validated content	Trusts select influencers; responds to emotionally grounded information	Prefers traditional media, labeling, and ethical appeals

Framework Explained: Generational Response to Green Marketing and Recycling

The Framework, as adapted for this study, offers a generationally segmented understanding of how consumers respond to sustainability messaging and recycling systems across four interrelated domains: psychological, structural, economic, and informational. This model makes explicit how different cohorts experience, interpret, and act upon environmental initiatives, enabling researchers, marketers, and policymakers to tailor strategies with precision.

1. Psychological Domain (Perceptions, Emotions, Trust)

This domain explores the emotional and cognitive filters through which each generation evaluates sustainability claims. For Generation Z, psychological engagement is marked by deep skepticism and emotional dissonance. Participants in this group frequently described corporate green marketing as "performative," expressing frustration with brands that use recyclable labels while continuing to mass-produce non-reusable items. Their emotional investment in authenticity was clear: they seek alignment between corporate values and behavior and are often disillusioned when inconsistencies are apparent.

Millennials, while still critical, were more open to sustainability claims when these were paired with transparency and social proof. They expressed a desire to trust brands but expected visible efforts and traceable results. Generation X participants showed a more pragmatic emotional engagement, balancing idealism with realism. They often demonstrated commitment to sustainability despite frustrations, such as one participant who saved recyclables during travel to avoid throwing them away. Baby Boomers, by contrast, placed greater value on traditional brand reputation and ethical appeal in marketing, often relying on long-standing trust rather than digital scrutiny. Their skepticism stemmed from broader concerns about changing norms and unfamiliar marketing channels.

2. Structural Domain (Recycling Infrastructure and Accessibility)

This domain captures how physical and systemic access to recycling shapes behavior. Across all generations, frustration with inconsistent and confusing recycling systems was a dominant theme. Generation Z participants questioned the effectiveness of public recycling, with one noting that community bins often become contaminated and likely render their efforts useless. Those living in apartments felt particularly disconnected from the recycling process due to limited infrastructure, such as the absence of bins or confusing local policies. Millennials echoed this concern but focused more on the need for education and guidance, emphasizing how unclear instructions limit participation. Generation X, true to their adaptive character, often took extra steps to recycle despite infrastructure failures, such as storing recyclables in personal bags to dispose of later. Baby Boomers, while less flexible, expected curbside or centralized systems and found

the lack of standardization problematic, especially when municipal rules varied from place to place. These structural barriers contributed to a sense of futility and disengagement across all age groups.

3. Economic Domain (Affordability and Value Sensitivity)

Economic concerns emerged as a consistent constraint in the pursuit of sustainable consumption. Generation Z participants expressed a strong desire to purchase eco-friendly products but consistently cited affordability as a limiting factor, noting that green alternatives often cost more than conventional options. Millennials, while generally willing to pay more for sustainable goods, carefully weighed the financial trade-offs and sought long-term value to justify the expense. A participant from Generation X reflected a deep commitment, sharing how even during financial hardship, they continued to purchase ethically sourced goods because it aligned with their values, illustrating the tension between conviction and cost.

Baby Boomers, however, exhibited the highest sensitivity to price, often making purchasing decisions based on affordability first and sustainability second. Their eco-conscious behavior was often contingent upon whether the product was labeled as ethical and reasonably priced, indicating a preference for cost-effective trust over aspirational consumption.

4. Informational Domain (Media Channels and Message Reception)

This domain focuses on how participants receive and engage with sustainability messages. Unsurprisingly, Generation Z and Millennials relied heavily on social media and influencer-driven content to learn about sustainability practices. One Generation X participant noted how following sustainability influencers on platforms like Instagram changed their purchasing behavior, suggesting that emotionally resonant storytelling from trusted figures can shift norms more effectively than traditional advertising.

In contrast, Baby Boomers expressed discomfort with digital messaging and preferred product labeling, print media, and ethical appeals rooted in brand history. This generational divide suggests that marketing strategies should be platform-specific and culturally fluent: influencer marketing and interactive campaigns for younger audiences and trusted, reputation-based channels for older consumers. Generation X, straddling both digital and traditional platforms, responded best to messages that combined emotional authenticity with practical information.

Study Limitations

Despite the conceptual depth and rich qualitative insights derived from this study, several limitations should be acknowledged:

- **Limited Sample Size and Representativeness**
  The study relied on a single participant per generational cohort (n=4 in total), which, while methodologically justifiable for exploratory focus group research, restricts the generalizability of the findings. The views expressed may reflect unique individual experiences rather than representative generational attitudes. This limitation reduces the external validity of the conclusions and calls for caution in interpreting the findings as definitive across broader populations.

- **Virtual Format Constraints**
  While Zoom provided flexibility and allowed for geographically diverse participation, the digital format may have constrained group dynamics and limited the richness of spontaneous conversation and non-verbal communication, which are often pivotal in in-person focus group settings. Additionally, technological disparities (e.g., internet quality, screen fatigue) could have affected engagement levels across age groups, particularly for older participants.

- **Short Duration and Time Constraints**
  The 60-minute session length, though sufficient for a high-level exploration of attitudes, may have restricted deeper probing of emerging themes, particularly those related to emotional drivers, intergenerational comparisons, or nuanced understandings of institutional trust.

- **Cross-Cultural and Regional Limitations**
  The study did not explicitly control regional, cultural, or socioeconomic diversity, which are known to influence both environmental attitudes and access to recycling infrastructure. Without these controls, the findings may reflect a narrow cultural lens that may not apply in international or multicultural contexts.

Future Research Directions

To address the limitations outlined and build on the valuable insights from this exploratory study, future research could be expanded in the following ways:

- **Larger and More Diverse Samples**
  A larger sample size encompassing multiple participants per generational cohort would allow for comparative statistical analysis and greater thematic saturation. Including participants from different regions, racial and ethnic backgrounds, income levels, and educational profiles would enhance the robustness and cultural applicability of the findings.

- **Generational Subgroup Analysis**
  Future work could explore intragenerational differences, examining how factors like political ideology, education level, or urban versus rural residency influence sustainability attitudes within generational groups.

Such analysis would prevent overgeneralization and uncover the diversity of thought within generational labels.

- **Expanded Behavioral Focus**
  While this study focused primarily on recycling, future research could broaden the behavioral scope to include other forms of sustainable action, such as transportation choices, energy consumption, or dietary practices. This would provide a fuller picture of how green marketing intersects with various aspects of environmental behavior.

- **Experimental Testing of Messaging**
  Based on the study's findings around trust and message authenticity, future experimental research could test the efficacy of different green marketing message framings, for instance, comparing influencer-led messaging, data-driven campaigns, or emotionally framed appeals, to identify which strategies are most persuasive for each generation.

- **Policy Evaluation and Intervention Testing**
  Future studies could assess the impact of specific policy interventions (e.g., tax rebates, recycling mandates, eco-labeling) on consumer behavior through experimental or quasi-experimental designs. Researchers might also explore how digital platforms and influencers can be systematically incorporated into public sustainability campaigns, particularly to reach younger, media-savvy demographics.

These future directions offer a roadmap for advancing the field of sustainability communication and consumer behavior research. By integrating broader samples, diverse methodologies, and more nuanced analysis of generational psychology, researchers can better inform both marketing strategies and policy design to foster more effective, inclusive, and lasting environmental engagement.

References

Abella, A., Llorach-Massana, P., Pereda-Baños, A., Marco-Almagro, L., Barreda-Ángeles, M., & Clèries, L. (2022). Perception of recycled plastics for improved consumer acceptance through self-reported and physiological measures. *Sensors, 22*(23), 9226.

Ackerman, J., & Levin, D. B. (2023). Rethinking plastic recycling: A comparison between North America and Europe. *Journal of Environmental Management, 340*, 117859.

Adeyanju, G. C., Augustine, T. M., Volkmann, S., Oyebamiji, U. A., Ran, S., Osobajo, O. A., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of intervention on behaviour change against use of non-biodegradable plastic bags: A systematic review. *Discover Sustain, 2*, 1–15.

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 179-211.

Almohaimmeed, B. M. A. (2019). The effects of social media marketing antecedents on social media marketing, brand loyalty, and purchase intention: A customer perspective. *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research, 13*(4).

Armitage, C. J., & Conner, M. (2001). Efficacy of the theory of planned behaviour: A meta-analytic review. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 40*(4), 471–499.

Barr, S. (2007). Factors influencing environmental attitudes and behaviors: A UK case study of household waste management. *Environment and Behavior, 39*(4), 435–473.

Ballew, M. T., Marlon, J. R., Leiserowitz, A., & Maibach, E. (2019). Climate change in the American mind: Data, tools, and trends. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development, 61(3)*, 4–18.

Bigliardi, B., Campisi, D., Ferraro, G., Filippelli, S., Galati, F., & Petroni, A. (2020). The intention to purchase recycled products: Towards an integrative theoretical framework. *Sustainability, 12(22)*, 9739.

Chen, Y. S., & Chang, C. H. (2020). Exploring consumer intention to purchase green energy: Moderating role of skepticism and knowledge. *Energy Policy, 133*, 110940.

Di, J., Reck, B. K., Miatto, A., & Graedel, T. E. (2021). United States plastics: Large flows, short lifetimes, and negligible recycling. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 167*, 105440.

Harmon-Jones, E., & Mills, J. (2019). *An introduction to cognitive dissonance theory and an overview of current perspectives on the theory.*

Joshi, Y., & Rahman, Z. (2015). Factors affecting green purchase behaviour and future research directions. *International Strategic Management Review, 3(1–2)*, 128–143.

Knutson, B., Rick, S., Wimmer, G. E., Prelec, D., & Loewenstein, G. (2007). Neural predictors of purchases. *Neuron, 53(1)*, 147–156.

Montague, P. R., King-Casas, B., & Cohen, J. D. (2006). Imaging valuation models in human choice. *Annual Review of Neuroscience, 29*, 417–448.

Nigbur, D., Lyons, E., & Uzzell, D. (2010). Attitudes, norms, identity and environmental behaviour: Using an expanded theory of planned behaviour to predict participation in a kerbside recycling programme. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 49(2)*, 259–284.

Peattie, K., & Crane, A. (2005). Green marketing: Legend, myth, farce or prophesy? *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal, 8(4)*, 357–370.

Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). *The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion.* In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 19, pp. 123–205). Academic Press.

Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). *Communication and Persuasion: Central and Peripheral Routes to Attitude Change.* Springer-Verlag.

Petty, R. E., Briñol, P., & Priester, J. R. (2009). Mass media attitude change: Implications of the elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. In J. Bryant & M. B. Oliver (Eds.), *Media effects: Advances in theory and research* (3rd ed., pp. 125–164). Routledge.

Plassmann, H., Ramsøy, T. Z., & Milosavljevic, M. (2012). Branding the brain: A critical review and outlook. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 22(1)*, 18–36.

Sarkis, J. (2012). A boundaries and flows perspective of green supply chain management. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(2)*, 202-216.

Sescousse, G., Caldú, X., Segura, B., & Dreher, J.-C. (2013). Processing of primary and secondary rewards: A quantitative meta-analysis and review of human functional neuroimaging studies. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 37(4)*, 681–696.

Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), T*he Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations*, (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.

White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to SHIFT consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. *Journal of Marketing, 83(3)*, 22–49.