Pedagogy and Civic Engagement: Theoretical Insights into Fostering Active Citizenship in University Education

Ciprian Simut, PhD
University of Oradea, Romania, cipriansimut@uoradea.ro

Julien Ferenc Kiss, PhD
University of Oradea, Romania, julien.kiss@uoradea.ro

Florica Ortan, PhD
University of Oradea, Romania, fortan@uoradea.ro

Laurentiu Mandrea, PhD
University of Oradea, Romania, laurentiu.mandrea@uoradea.ro

ABSTRACT: The research examines, at a theoretical level, the ways in which pedagogical approaches in higher education can be strategically designed to foster civic engagement, thereby preparing university students to become citizens who understand why they need to be active and act responsibly. Inspired by a multidisciplinary theoretical framework—starting from the constructivist learning theory, critical pedagogy, experiential learning theory, self-determination theory, and social identity theory—the research explores how student-centered, dialogic, and experiential teaching methods cultivate the cognitive, motivational, and identity-based foundations of civic participation. The research argues that when teachers integrate collaborative learning activities, critical reflection, autonomy-supportive environments, and community-oriented projects, students would gain the skills and the ethical commitment needed for democratic engagement. The research also acknowledges potential obstacles, including institutional constraints, cultural and political resistance, student readiness, and tensions between individual autonomy and collective goals. The proposed solutions are about the implementation of adaptive pedagogical designs, faculty development, and culturally responsive practices. This research is theoretical and aims to present the ways in which universities could bridge the gap between academic learning and active democratic citizenship.

KEYWORDS: civic education, pedagogy, higher education, active citizenship

Introduction

Civic engagement works as an intrinsic part of well-functioning democratic societies, which require informed, active, and ethically responsible citizens. In this

context, universities are key players that develop intellectual and social development, are increasingly expected to cultivate the knowledge, skills, and dispositions that enable students to meaningfully participate in civic life. One of the most important functions of universities is to encourage democratic values and encourage critical engagement with social issues. Universities can equip future citizens to navigate and address the complex challenges of contemporary society.

Civic engagement is considered one of the most important elements of healthy democratic societies, there might be a lack of theoretical clarity, doubled by pedagogical guidance on how to best foster it within university contexts. Pedagogical research could focus on the manner in which specific pedagogical approaches, psychological dynamics, and the cultivation of democratic participation are best integrated into theoretical frameworks. It follows that the research would focus on developing a multidisciplinary theoretical framework that draws on educational and psychological theories, with the purpose of exploring how particular pedagogies may advance civic engagement. The research proposes actionable insights for the teaching staff, curriculum designers, and policymakers. However, there are also counterarguments and contextual limitations that need to be named and analyzed to gain a conceptual foundation for shaping teaching, learning, and assessment practices that inform, and support critically engaged and socially responsible university graduates.

Theoretical Foundations of Pedagogy and Civic Engagement

It is considered that Dewey (2024), Vygotsky and Cole (1978), and Piaget (1997) had a significant influence in developing theoretical and practical elements (Westheimer & Kahne, 2004) that shaped constructivist learning theory. Their construct posits that knowledge is constructed by the learners more in an active way than in a passive way (Sel, 2021). When students engage in challenging activities, such as exploration, debating, and reflecting, they build understanding through meaningful interactions with content and their peers. If applied to civic engagement, constructivist pedagogies make use of learning environments that are student-centered, where students negotiate perspectives, question assumptions, and connect theoretical concepts to real-world civic issues (Yakar et al., 2020).

Freire (2014) proposes another type of pedagogy, suggestively called critical pedagogy, as it centers around the concept of consciousness and its development into critical consciousness. The theory defines critical consciousness as the ability to become aware of societal structures, inequalities, and power relations (Lawy & Biesta, 2006). Critical pedagogy forms the students' ability to question the power that be, the *status quo*, and identify injustices, followed by considering how to enact social change. This type of pedagogy does not support rote memorization or the passive acceptance of any dominant narratives. Critical pedagogy addresses issues such as marginalization, oppressions, and inequality, while students learn to

interpret them and think of creative ways to solve them. Among other things, critical pedagogy might analyze certain public policies, debate the ethics of corporate influence in politics, or examine historical struggles for civil rights (Glassman et al., 2013). Students are engaged in reading and studying such issues, developing a sense of belonging, that turns them into possible agents of change. Their education is about developing critically engaged citizens, fully prepared to understand democratic principles and the way in which genuine and lasting change could be enacted.

Kolb (1984) developed the experiential learning theory that emphasizes the idea that knowledge is the result of an intricate interplay between concrete experiences, reflective observations, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation (A. Y. Kolb & Kolb, 2012). Civic engagement through experiential learning brings significant benefits to students. One learning method is community-based project, which means a collaboration between the schools and local organizations, that conduct neighborhood surveys or engage students in various volunteering activities that have a civic impact. Students manage to gain a deeper understanding of how society is structured, and what specific needs communities might have (Eyler, 2002). These are all experiences, thus learning through them is a valid option. Based on their experience, they can design and conceptualize various ways to improve existing strategies, reinforce their understanding of civic roles and responsibilities, deepening their understanding of social connectedness and personal agency (Lim & Bloomquist, 2014). Their academic learning is translated into community-centered projects, that are viable.

The fulfillment of autonomy, competence, and relatedness is at the center of Self-Determination Theory developed by Deci and Ryan (2000). The three elements are considered basic psychological needs, which enhance intrinsic motivation. The theory serves to understand why students are not engaged in various participatory activities. Students seem to respond better to being engaged when presented with meaningful choices in selecting issues to investigate, when various methods of inquiry are made accessible to solve relevant issues, or when they understand what types of actions might allow them to address various important issues. When they are trusted with their own autonomy, students realize how powerful their voices are and how it can matter for civic issues (Cohen & Chaffee, 2012). The competences students develop, in the field of communication, problem-solving, and policy analysis, offer the power to engage and possibly solve various issues of civic relevance (Cheung, 2021). Relatedness is shaped in supportive and collaborative environments, where teachers and students share values of civic responsibility. The theory allows pedagogical insights into what approaches foster student internal motivation for civic engagement, without the need for external rewards and pressures (Nyuhuan, 2024). The results could see students engaged in civic participation, due to personally valued activities,

coupled with self-affirming pursuit, rather than an imposed expectation, thus finding meaning through education (Rotaru, 2021a, pp. 87-92).

Group identity is a relevant topic for democratic citizenship, since it can lead to better behavior and a deeper social connection, just as much as it can do the opposite. Social Identity Theory, elaborated by Tajfel (2010), explains how group membership influences individual behavior, values, and perceptions. In an educational setting, contexts oriented towards civic engagement lead students to identify with civic communities, where they invest in group goals (Denney, 2022). It does not matter whether these groups are defined by shared interest in environmental safety, local governance, or social justice, since it can be any positive goal that would benefit a given community (Crocetti et al., 2014). In such a context, the pedagogical strategies focus on group projects, public dialogues, and community partnerships, that aid students in forming a collective identity, around civic participation. Any type of social engagement, from helping the poor and the destitute to solving administrative problems, that regard the organization of the community, give students a perspective on their genuine part of a civic-minded collective, that strives for common ends. Developing a sense of identity and belonging strengthens their sense of responsibility, driving them to more civic engagement, going beyond the issues in their classrooms (Yang et al., 2024). A sustained engagement in public life generates a clearer perspective on the democratic process.

Connecting Theory with Practice

Civic engagement is built through various types of social interactions. Classroom practice relies on theory, in order to create a meaningful civic engagement, both in pre-university and university environments. There are specific ways in which the learning theories can be operationalized to cultivate civic responsibility and democratic competencies within educational institutions and settings (Rahman et al., 2024).

The first way of operationalizing learning theories is through active and collaborative learning. This method of learning emphasizes dynamic participation in problem-solving and dialogue. It does not promote passive absorption of information, because it relies on activities designed to focus on joint effort, through which constructivist and social constructivist principles are put into practice. The first element of the method is group projects, that assign students to teams that investigate a contemporary civic issue. This could be a local policy reform or community health concern, that allows students to create knowledge through collaboration. Since each student has distinct perspectives on various matters, their background research and skills are contributing to the overall problem-solving issue (Jagers et al., 2017). The result should lead to enhanced critical thinking, and a reinforced habit of engaging civil dialogue.

The second way is through simulations, which transform the classrooms into legislative chambers, or public forums, that immerse students in the decision-making process, that mimic real-world democratic procedures. Students are assigned roles, through which they develop empathy for different stakeholders (Todd et al., 2011). They learn negotiation tactics, and practice structured deliberations that are part of the political participation(Chernikova et al., 2020).

The third way is through deliberative dialogues which provide other types of structured opportunities for deliberation on various topics, including controversial ones (Bachen et al., 2015). Through deliberative dialogues, students practice respect for varied viewpoints and the capacity to analyze evidence, and reach consensus, in the end (Torney-Purta & Amadeo, 2011). The social constructivist perspective appears to incorporate this type of dialogue into its structure, which fosters cognitive development and interpersonal competencies integral to civic engagement (Guillaume et al., 2015).

Critical pedagogy (Giroux, 2010) revolves around the concept that foreground reflection, and dialogue tends to encourage students to evaluate and interrogate established norms, various power relations, and social inequalities. Stimulating civic minded critical thinkers shapes and nurtures collective responsibility. Students would explore the relationship between injustice and power, that are taken from historical case studies, but also from contemporary situations. Activities would analyze civil rights movements and economic disparities, that would prompt students to question how structural inequities appear and persist (Torney-Purta & Amadeo, 2011). An important stage in the process of reflection is the facilitation of open discussions, that would prompt students to evaluate in an informed manner the analyzed issues. This method allows students to become aware of personal biases, societal assumptions, and the potential for collective action. Students would also become aware, to a higher degree, of the dangers of extremism (Rossi et al., 2016).

Critical pedagogy would also present students with ethical dilemmas, that have complex moral scenarios. These could revolve around the idea of public safety or individual freedoms. Subjects that address ambiguous ethical challenges require them to name what they might identify as oppressive and generate informed conversations that move from passive observation to active moral reasoning. The issue of informed conversations is valid, since it offers a wider range of possible scenarios, from which students can observe a wider range of possible outcomes (Johnson & Morris, 2010) that would not limit their development to extreme views.

Another element that can be used in critical pedagogy is the reflective journal. The purpose of this tool is to allow students to track the progression of their thoughts on various civic issues. Reflection deepens critical consciousness, through introspection, that leads to clarified values and the identification of new inquiries

that could provide better insight into social problems and issues (Pinedo et al., 2021).

Kolb (Kolb, 1984) designed the experiential learning framework, which highlights the iterative cycle of concrete experiences, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Teachers connect the coursework to real-world community engagement positions, where students can see first-hand the relevance of academic concepts and the impact they have on civic participation. Students can be engaged in community projects that are set up by local organizations or civic institutions (Bringle & Hatcher, 2002). Research partnerships and volunteer initiatives allows for a hands-on experience, that connects course content to social realities. Internships provide another type of experience, because internships can take place in various nonprofits, or advocacy groups. Students observe professional civic work, and they contribute to various initiatives. In the university environment professors organize reflection sessions that guide students to connect their field experience with broader socio-political theories. The learning process benefits from reinforcing students' experiences and expertise, that can be applied to various social issues in the future. Advocacy projects allow students to practice either lobbying and communication, or community mobilization (Mann & Bowen, 2021). Students deepen their civic awareness and personal agency, especially when they experience tangible outcomes.

Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000) leads to autonomy-supportive teaching strategies that emphasize the student's needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. The hypothesis is that once the psychological needs are met, students would be more motivated to engage in civic life. Teachers can give students the freedom to select topics for research or the type of community engagement project that they would like to get involved in. This type of autonomy could bolster not only motivation but also prompt the students to engage and invest more time and energy, together with creativity, in various civic activities of their choosing. Through the projects that students choose, they will gradually build skills (Huéscar Hernández et al., 2020). Designing incrementally challenging tasks develops students' sense of competence. Progressively complex policy analysis or community interventions require deep engagement from students, who need to develop a research strategy that would yield results. Guidance and feedback at each stage provides a boost in confidence in their influence in civic engagement. The development of student skills is increased by supportive learning communities, that cultivate an environment where collaboration is encouraged. Peer support is a structural part of building better and deeper relatedness among students. Such an environment allows them to take more risks, share ideas and commit to reaching higher goals (Setyani et al., 2016). The supportive atmosphere strengthens the bond between learners and creates a shared civic identity.

Challenges and Pitfalls for Active Citizenship in Higher Education

University level educational systems could prioritize productivity, enrollment figures, and measurable academic outcomes. Assessments in general, and standardized assessments in particular, are part of the issue that could take away from educational initiatives. Any of the previously mentioned elements have the potential to marginalize initiatives that aim at developing civic-minded learning. A heavy emphasis on competitive grand acquisitions and publication records may leave limited time or valuable resources for implementing projects based on collaboration and community engagement (Lepori et al., 2019). The accreditation process focuses on strict curriculum structures that might restrict the instructors' autonomy to experiment with civically oriented pedagogies. These scenarios impede faculty members from enacting student-centered and experiential learning strategies that foster democratic engagement. Civic engagement risk remaining superficial or sporadic without the institutional policies that recognize and reward pedagogical innovation. Professional development funds, administrative backing for service-learning courses, and community partnerships, implemented and supported through the educational institutions can legitimize and sustain civic-oriented pedagogy within the university (Ateş, 2019).

Critical engagement with power structures, especially social injustice, might be seen as a sign of hostility, and it can be met with skepticism. If stakeholders, such as parents, alumni, governing boards, and political groups, perceive these methods as political or ideological propaganda, the methods could be labeled as biased and rejected altogether. Conservative and liberal political environments will face backlash, from the opposite faction, if the educational institution endorses certain sociopolitical agendas in the classroom (Muñoz-Suárez et al., 2020). To avoid controversy, the teachers might self-censor, thus diluting the potential of critical pedagogy to address pivotal matters that would allow students to engage meaningfully with social issues. Self-censure would lead to a lack of transparent communication about the educational value of critical inquiry. It would also lead to changes in curricular design, that would present diverse viewpoints, to help alleviate tensions. Civic engagement can be developed as nonpartisan, thus focusing only on dialogue, critical thinking, and empathy, which might reduce perceptions of partisanship (Kioupi & Voulvoulis, 2019). Critics will continue to see such engagement as partisan, because of the critical thinking it promotes.

Schools and universities are complex environments, where students from various backgrounds meet and form relationships (Rotaru, 2021b, pp.190-196), some positive, others negative. The presumption might be that students possess motivation, the foundational knowledge, and the emotional resilience to benefit from civic-oriented learning. Students, however, come with a formed engagement with civic-oriented learning, that has various levels of depth and positive outcomes. In this context, some lack basic understanding of democratic processes, while

others would have difficulties cutting ties with the past tragic experiences, making them apathetic and disenfranchised (Takalo et al., 2013). Another important aspect is the emotional response of students to issues such as injustice and systemic inequality, which might fail to cause empowerment. Students do not respond uniformly to critical reflection and that is to be expected and managed to the best interest of the students and the solving of issues. However, steady support might have beneficial outcomes in the long run. Another possible approach is differentiated instruction, coupled with baseline preparatory modules on civic and political structures. The guided reflection would bridge the gaps, in the case of students who want to engage, despite their reluctance and discomfort (Anisseh et al., 2023). Discussion forums, hands-on community work, among others, creates a space of action and engagement, that also presents various formats that align with their comfort levels.

There are possible tensions in applying the Self-Determination Theory and collaborative learning model, because individual goals and collective goals might vary, even to a higher level. Autonomy-supportive teaching empowers students to pursue their interests, group-based civic projects have a higher dependency on cooperation and shared objectives. Cultivating a strong civic identity within a class or even an institution may also risk alienating students, because they have personal or cultural values that are not aligned to the promoted cause (Annan-Diab & Molinari, 2017). Conflicts, in this sense, would generate pressure for some students to endorse the majority's views or values, while contradicting their own personal convictions. Overemphasizing a singular vision of civic engagement might lead to the undermining of the pluralist views that are a hallmark of democratic societies. The way to handle such an issue might revolve around facilitating dialogue about group norms, that lead to constructive dissent (Abdalla et al., 2024). In the end a range of civic project options could maintain individual agency and communal cohesion.

Student background, learning styles, and the level of preparedness of students contribute to the manner in which the student is shaped for one's career, but also for one's principles of citizenship. To these institutional constraints are also added, addressing issues of curriculum content and ethical research and exposure to controversial information. A possible answer to addressing the complexity of the educational scenarios is adaptive pedagogical design (Cavanagh et al., 2020). This strategy (Ikram et al., 2024) modulates the complexity of content, it varies topic selection and provides a structure that meets the students in their context at any given time. Applying this strategy to course material implies diagnostic assessment, to identify the student's perspective on various citizenship and civic matters, followed by a gradual insertion of more complex topics through tiered learning modules. The result might be the development of foundational concepts in pollical systems and democratic principles. The next step would involve a deeper engagement with various social life issues and policy reforms. This

would be coupled with discussion frameworks, mentorship programs, and detailed project guidelines, to help students engage with meaningful civic content (Budiarta & Utami, 2021).

Conclusions

Drawing on constructivist learning theory, critical pedagogy, experiential learning theory, Self-Determination Theory, and Social Identity Theory, the framework of the theoretical research article aimed to provide a roadmap for conceptualizing and perhaps understanding how pedagogical practices can foster civic engagement in higher education. The argument underlines that active, student-centered, and reflective learning environments are conducive to academic growth, and aid the development of democratic competencies required for meaningful civic participation, which understand and engage in real-life community situations. Theoretical insights and practical pedagogical strategies align to cultivate civic mindedness in a collaboration between practical experience, critical reflection, and the psychological needs of students, that are to be met so that their educational experience enhances their views on civic engagement. In the field of curriculum design, the service-based projects, various types of simulations, and especially meaningful, deep dialogues, could connect academic content with real-life civic challenges.

Further research is needed to explore the long-term impacts of civic engagement pedagogies. Some of its aspects can be studied on a regular basis, while others would take significantly longer. Studies could consider longitudinal designs that track the development of various civic competencies over a set period. The insights would shed a deeper understanding of how early educational experiences shape lifelong civic participation. In the same frame, cross-cultural research, through comparative studies done in institutional settings and cultural context, would explain better how local values and traditions influence the effectiveness of civic-based teaching practices. The digital transformation offers a challenging take on the issues of online and hybrid learning environments, which can affect the way citizenship and civics are considered by students, since virtual communities could have different dynamics from the real-life ones.

Educational theories and practical challenges shape a complex pathway for integrating civic engagement into university level pedagogies. Institutional reforms and curricular innovations have the potential to advance academic depths and shape the perspectives on the future of participatory democracy.

References

Abdalla, S., Ramadan, E., Al-Belushi, M. A. K., & Al-Hooti, N. (2024). Unveiling the Role of Arab Universities in Advancing Sustainable Development Goals: A Multi-Dimensional Analysis. *Sustainability*, *16*(14), Article 14. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16145829

Anisseh, M., Sharifi, N., & Akbari, Z. (2023). Evaluation of universities service quality through Servqual method. *Journal of Engineering Management and Competitiveness (JEMC), 13*(1), 3–10. https://doi.org/10.5937/JEMC2301003A

Annan-Diab, F., & Molinari, C. (2017). Interdisciplinarity: Practical approach to advancing education for sustainability and for the Sustainable Development Goals. *The International Journal of Management Education, 15*(2, Part B), 73–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2017.03.006

Ateş, H. (2019). Using information systems and technologies in higher education institutions. *International journal of research -granthaalayah, 7*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.390

Bachen, C. M., Hernández-Ramos, P. F., Raphael, C., & Waldron, A. (2015). Civic Play and Civic Gaps: Can Life Simulation Games Advance Educational Equity? *Journal of Information Technology & Politics, 12*(4), 378–395. https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2015.1101038

Bringle, R. G., & Hatcher, J. A. (2002). Campus–Community Partnerships: The Terms of Engagement. *Journal of Social Issues, 58*(3), 503–516. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-4560.00273

Budiarta, I., & Utami, A. A. A. (2021, January 4). *Undiksha Students' Level of Understanding about the Civic Education in Implementation the Socio-Pedagogical, Socio-Academic, and Socio-Cultural Missions in to Create Smart and Good Citizenships*. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Law, Social Sciences and Education, ICLSSE 2020, 10 November, Singaraja, Bali, Indonesia. https://eudl.eu/doi/10.4108/eai.10-11-2020.2303370

Cavanagh, T., Chen, B., Lahcen, R. A. M., & Paradiso, J. (2020). Constructing a Design Framework and Pedagogical Approach for Adaptive Learning in Higher Education: A Practitioner's Perspective. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 21*(1), 173–197. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v21i1.4557

Chernikova, O., Heitzmann, N., Stadler, M., Holzberger, D., Seidel, T., & Fischer, F. (2020). Simulation-Based Learning in Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 90*(4), 499–541. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654320933544

Cheung, A. (2021). "Friendship Brought Me Into it, but Commitment Bought Me Into it": Civic Engagement Motivations Among Highly Engaged Asian American Youth. *Teachers College Record, 123*(11), 102–124. https://doi.org/10.1177/01614681221087300

Cohen, A., & Chaffee, B. (2012). The relationship between adolescents' civic knowledge, civic attitude, and civic behavior and their self-reported future likelihood of voting -. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/1746197912456339

Crocetti, E., Garckija, R., Gabrialavičiūtė, I., Vosylis, R., & Žukauskienė, R. (2014). Reciprocal Associations between Identity and Civic Engagement in Adolescence: A Two-Wave Longitudinal Study. *International Journal of Developmental Science, 8*(3–4), 115–124. https://doi.org/10.3233/DEV-1400139

Denney, S. M. (2022). Student perceptions of support for civic identity development and identity exploration in a discussion-based U.S. government course. *The Journal of Social Studies Research, 46*(3), 279–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssr.2021.06.003

Dewey, J. (2024). *Democracy and Education* (with an introduction by N. Tampio, Ed.; p. 400 Pages). Columbia University Press.

Eyler, J. (2002). Reflection: Linking Service and Learning—Linking Students and Communities. *Journal of Social Issues, 58*(3), 517–534. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-4560.00274

Freire, P. (2014). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Bloomsbury.

Giroux, H. A. (2010). Rethinking Education as the Practice of Freedom: Paulo Freire and the Promise of Critical Pedagogy. *Policy Futures in Education, 8*(6), 715–721. https://doi.org/10.2304/pfie.2010.8.6.715

Glassman, M., Erdem, G., & Bartholomew, M. (2013). Action Research and Its History as an Adult Education Movement for Social Change. *Adult Education Quarterly, 63*(3), 272–288. https://doi.org/10.1177/0741713612471418

Guillaume, C., Jagers, R., & Rivas-Drake, D. (2015). Middle School as a Developmental Niche for Civic Engagement. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *56*(3–4), 321–331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-015-9759-2

Huéscar Hernández, E., Moreno-Murcia, J. A., Cid, L., Monteiro, D., & Rodrigues, F. (2020). Passion or Perseverance? The Effect of Perceived Autonomy Support and Grit on Academic Performance in College Students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17062143

Ikram, C., Mohamed, E., & Mohamed, K. (2024). Enhancing Adaptive Pedagogical Content Development with ADDIE and Scrum in Hypermedia Environments. *DIROSAT: Journal of Education, Social Sciences & Humanities*, *2*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.58355/dirosat.v2i2.64

Jagers, R. J., Lozada, F. T., Rivas-Drake, D., & Guillaume, C. (2017). Classroom and School Predictors of Civic Engagement Among Black and Latino Middle School Youth. *Child Development*, *88*(4), 1125–1138. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12871

Johnson, L., & Morris, P. (2010). Towards a framework for critical citizenship education. *The Curriculum Journal*, *21*(1), 77–96. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585170903560444

Kioupi, V., & Voulvoulis, N. (2019). Education for Sustainable Development: A Systemic Framework for Connecting the SDGs to Educational Outcomes. *Sustainability*, *11*(21), Article 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11216104

Kolb, A. Y., & Kolb, D. A. (2012). Experiential Learning Theory. In N. M. Seel (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning* (pp. 1215–1219). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1428-6_227

Kolb, D. (1984). Experiential Learning: Experience As The Source Of Learning And Development. In *Journal of Business Ethics* (Vol. 1).

Lawy, R., & Biesta, G. (2006). Citizenship-as-Practice: The Educational Implications of an Inclusive and Relational Understanding of Citizenship. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, *54*(1), 34–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8527.2006.00335.x

Lepori, B., Geuna, A., & Mira, A. (2019). Scientific output scales with resources. A comparison of US and European universities. *PLOS ONE*, *14*(10), e0223415. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223415

Lim, S., & Bloomquist, C. (2014). Distinguishing service learning from other types of experiential learning. *Education for Information*, *31*(4), 195–207. https://doi.org/10.3233/EFI-150952

Mann, J., & Bowen, G. A. (2021). Emphasizing the Impact of Service-Learning and Community Engagement Partnerships Amid Challenges Facing Higher Education. *International Journal of Research on Service-Learning and Community Engagement*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.37333/001c.31379

Muñoz-Suárez, M., Guadalajara, N., & Osca, J. M. (2020). A Comparative Analysis between Global University Rankings and Environmental Sustainability of Universities. *Sustainability*, *12*(14), Article 14. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145759

Nyuhuan, G. (2024). Beyond rewards and punishments: Enhancing children's intrinsic motivation through self-determination theory. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *21*(2), 1576–1583. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0457

Piaget, J. (1997). *The Moral Judgment of the Child*. Free Press.

Pinedo, A., Vossoughi, N., & Lewis, N. A. (2021). Critical Pedagogy and Children's Beneficial Development. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *8*(2), 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1177/23727322211033000

Rahman, I. N., Budimansyah, D., Suryadi, K., & Sundawa, D. (2024). A Conceptual Framework of the Factor Influencing Democratic Citizenship in Civic Education. *KnE Social Sciences*, *9*(19), 102–111. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i19.16482

Rossi, G., Lenzi, M., Sharkey, J. D., Vieno, A., & Santinello, M. (2016). Factors Associated with Civic Engagement in Adolescence: The Effects of Neighborhood, School, Family, and Peer Contexts. *Journal of Community Psychology*, *44*(8), 1040–1058. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.21826

Rotaru, I.-Gh. (2021a). Current Values of Education and Culture. *Proceedings of the 23rd International RAIS Conference on Social Sciences and Humanities*, 87–92. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5507021

Rotaru, I.-Gh. (2021b). Valences of Education. *Proceedings of the 23th International RAIS Conference on Social Sciences and Humanities*, 190-196. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5507180

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being. *American Psychologist*.

Sel, B. (2021). A Meta-Synthesis Study on Citizenship Education Research within the Scope of Social Studies Lesson in Turkey. *Journal of Theoretical Educational Science*, *14*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.30831/akukeg.879414

Setyani, R. A., Probandari, A. N., & Demartoto, A. (2016). Path Analysis on the Factors Associated with Consistent Use of Female Condom among Female Sex Workers in Surakarta. *Journal of Health Promotion and Behavior*, *1*(2), Article 2.

Tajfel, H. (Ed.). (2010). *Social Identity and Intergroup Relations*. Cambridge University Press.

Takalo, S. K., Abadi, A. R. N. S., Vesal, S. M., Mirzaei, A., & Nawaser, K. (2013). Fuzzy Failure Analysis: A New Approach to Service Quality Analysis in Higher Education Institutions. *International Education Studies*, *6*(9), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v6n9p93

Todd, M., Guzman, M. R. T. de, & Zhang, X. (2011). Using Poverty Simulation for College Students: A Mixed-Methods Evaluation. *Journal of Youth Development*, *6*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.5195/jyd.2011.189

Torney-Purta, J., & Amadeo, J.-A. (2011). Participatory Niches for Emergent Citizenship in Early Adolescence: An International Perspective. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, *633*(1), 180–200. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716210384220

Vygotsky, L. S., & Cole, M. (1978). *Mind in Society: Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.

Westheimer, J., & Kahne, J. (2004). What Kind of Citizen? The Politics of Educating for Democracy. *American Educational Research Journal*, *41*(2), 237–269. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312041002237

Yakar, U., Sülü, A., Porgalı, M., & Çalış, N. (2020). From Constructivist Educational Technology to Mobile Constructivism: How mobile learning serves constructivism? *International Journal of Academic Research in Education*, *6*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.17985/ijare.818487

Yang, T., Zhu, G., & Wu, F. (2024). Participate in Philanthropy for Idols or Society? Fans' Social Media Practices, Dual Identity, and Civic Engagement in Chinese Online Fandom. *Social Media + Society*, *10*(2), 20563051241261290. https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051241261290