

# Neo-Secularism and the New Secular Religions

**Ioan Szasz**

Lecturer, PhD, Pentecostal Theological Institute, Bucharest, Romania  
iancsz@gmail.com

---

**ABSTRACT:** This paper explores the phenomenon of neo-secularism and the emergence of new secular religions, highlighting the mechanisms through which secularization has not eliminated the religious instinct but rather transformed it into alternative ideologies. The study examines the transition from classical secularism to neo-secularism, which navigates religious pluralism and redefines the relationship between religion and the public sphere. Furthermore, it analyzes the rise of secular religions—such as communism, fascism, radical environmentalism, and transhumanism—which adopt the structural functions of traditional religions by offering rigid doctrines, collective rituals, and utopian promises. The paper discusses the dangers posed by these ideologies, including totalitarian tendencies, moral relativism, and mobilization through fanaticism. It argues that despite the process of secularization, the human need for meaning and order persists and is rearticulated in new forms—often carrying significant socio-political implications. In conclusion, the study emphasizes the necessity of maintaining a balance between modernity and traditional spiritual values in order to preserve social and moral stability.

**KEYWORDS:** neo-secularism, secular religions, secularization, ideology, religious pluralism, totalitarianism, morality

---

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. General Objective

This paper seeks to examine the mechanisms that have led to past ideological, socio-political, and theological transformations, with the aim of providing a deeper understanding of the present and outlining possible trajectories for future development. In pursuing this goal, the study aims to identify and classify current trends, acknowledging that a comprehensive evaluation of such trends is only feasible after a period of consolidation and interdisciplinary analysis within related fields.

### 1. 2. Specific Objectives

**Clarification of Fundamental Concepts:** To define and analyze the concept of secularism, along with a specific delineation of secular religions in both their

classical forms and contemporary expressions. This endeavor aims to foster a nuanced understanding of the phenomenon and its influence on social, political, and cultural structures.

**Comparison between Christianity and Secular Religions:** To identify and examine both the commonalities and the fundamental differences between Christianity and secular religions, considering their respective value systems as well as their mechanisms of operation. This comparison will help illuminate the dynamics of substitution, influence, or opposition between them within contemporary society.

**Analysis of the Historical Evolution of Secular Religions:** To trace the emergence and development of secular religions throughout history, highlighting key moments that facilitated their rise. The study will include an exploration of cultural, economic, and political factors that contributed to the consolidation of these ideological forms and their proliferation across various social contexts.

**Evaluation of the Risks and Implications of Secular Religions:** To investigate the challenges and potential dangers posed by secular religions, both in terms of their impact on traditional values and spirituality, and with regard to social and political stability. The analysis will consider both theoretical dimensions and relevant case studies, in order to demonstrate the tangible effects of this phenomenon.

### 1.3. *The Importance of the Subject*

Thought and belief constitute foundational structures of human consciousness, and intellectual history consistently demonstrates that an absolute ideological or spiritual void cannot persist (Eliade, 1995, p. 12). The negation of a belief system does not result in its elimination, but rather in the emergence of conceptual or existential alternatives. Consequently, the continuous replacement of religious and philosophical paradigms has been a defining characteristic of the evolution of civilization (Taylor, 2007, p. 25).

One of the most widely recognized expressions of this transitional process is teothanatology—the “death of God”—a concept frequently associated with Friedrich Nietzsche. His iconic assertion *Gott ist tot* (“God is dead”), articulated in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra* and expanded in works such as *Ecce Homo* and *The Antichrist*, should not be construed as the definitive origin of modern secularization (Nietzsche, 2011, p. 125). Rather, it represents an intermediate stage in a longstanding tradition of reflection concerning the relationship between divinity, morality, and society. The origins of secularism may be traced to far older intellectual traditions, such as philosophical and theological Gnosticism, which challenged traditional religious structures as early as Antiquity (Jonas, 2001, p. 38). Similarly, the European Enlightenment—emphasizing rationalism and human autonomy—marked a crucial inflection point in this evolution. Therefore, secularism must be viewed not merely as a product of the twentieth and twenty-

first centuries but as a persistent thread running through the history of philosophical and theological thought (Kant, 1950, p. 50).

This historical continuity underscores the critical importance of studying contemporary secular religions in order to understand the current cultural and ideological dynamics. In a context where traditional religion is increasingly questioned or reinterpreted, identifying the mechanisms by which emerging ideologies assume the symbolic and normative functions once held by religion becomes imperative. Thus, the study of this topic is not only an academic endeavor but a necessary interpretive tool for engaging with the deep transformations shaping the modern world.

#### **1.4. Definitions**

Secularism is a multifaceted concept with philosophical, political, and social implications, which has evolved as a response to the historically fraught relationship between religious and political authorities. Canadian philosopher Charles Taylor addresses this transformation in his seminal work *A Secular Age*, wherein he examines the transition of Western societies from an era in which religion constituted the normative framework of public life to a period wherein religious belief has become one choice among many. Within this context, secularism should not be viewed solely as the institutional marginalization of religion, but rather as a fundamental reconfiguration of the relationship between the individual, the community, and the sacred (Taylor, 2007, p. 3).

Etymologically, the term “secularism” derives from the Latin *saeculum*, meaning “world” or “temporal,” often used in contrast to the sacred and eternal dimensions of religion. At its core, secularism is a doctrine that champions the independence of political and social institutions from religious authority. In general, secularism advocates the separation of religion from government, legislation, and education, providing a framework within which individual religious beliefs do not influence state governance (Chadwick, 1975, p. 18). An essential component of secularism is its philosophical commitment to pluralism and the creation of a neutral public sphere in which no religious tradition is granted preferential treatment. This neutrality facilitates the coexistence of multiple faiths—or none—without the interference of state-sanctioned favoritism or restriction (Rotaru, 2006, pp. 251-266). In many respects, Western secularism may be interpreted as a historical reaction to the failures of institutional Christianity during the Middle Ages, whose entanglement with political power precipitated numerous conflicts and social upheavals (Gauchet, 1997, p. 95).

Understanding secularism also requires an examination of the mechanisms through which the modern state imposes its anthropological and normative models. For instance, the framers of the U.S. Constitution identified the state as a potential threat to individual freedoms, prompting the ratification of the Second Amendment, which guarantees the right to bear arms as a safeguard against

tyrannical government (Rakove, 1997, p. 289). This example illustrates one of the foundational tenets of secularism: the delicate balance between state authority and individual liberty, including the freedom of religious belief (Rotaru, 2023, pp. 825-874).

## 2. A Brief Historical Overview of Secularism

Secularism is not exclusively a modern phenomenon but possesses deep historical roots. In relation to Christianity, one early origin may be identified in Gnosticism—a philosophical-religious movement that fragmented the human being into antagonistic components, a "good" and a "bad" part—establishing a precedent for the development of dualistic anthropology (Pagels, 1979, p. 45). A defining moment in the institutionalization of the Church occurred in 323 AD, when Christianity was proclaimed the official state religion. This declaration initiated a prolonged process of negotiation between church and state, marked by alternating periods of collaboration and conflict. During the 11th to 13th centuries, Scholasticism sought to establish a balance between reason and faith, reflecting an effort to reconcile transcendence with immanence. Thinkers such as Anselm, Abelard, and Thomas Aquinas crafted philosophical arguments that rationalized faith, offering it a logical foundation (Gilson, 1991, p. 95).

The eighteenth century witnessed a vehement revolt against institutionalized Christianity, culminating in the French Revolution of 1789. The Girondins and Jacobins dismantled the monarchical order, suppressed ecclesiastical authority, and introduced the "Cult of Reason," an initial form of secular religion grounded in naturalism and rationalism (Tackett, 1986, p. 178). The revolutionary motto *Liberté, Égalité, Fraternité* may be interpreted as one of the earliest proclamations of modern socialist ideals. Moreover, secularism was significantly influenced by European cultural movements ranging from the Renaissance to the Enlightenment, both of which fostered the rediscovery and societal integration of pre-Christian spiritualities.

### 2.1. Nietzsche and the "Death of God"

Another critical stage in the development of secularism was articulated through Friedrich Nietzsche's renowned proclamation *Gott ist tot* ("God is dead"). This declaration constitutes not merely a theological assertion but, more importantly, an anthropological and philosophical diagnosis (Nietzsche, 2001, p. 108).

### 2.2. The Meaning of the "Death of God"

**The Decline of Religious Faith:** Nietzsche contended that scientific progress and Enlightenment rationalism had weakened the authority of religious institutions, thereby eroding the moral foundation of Western civilization (Conway, 1997, p. 85).

**The Crisis of Values:** In the absence of God as an absolute moral principle, a profound ethical crisis emerges, compelling individuals to construct their own values, albeit at the risk of nihilism.

**The Emergence of the *Übermensch*:** Nietzsche proposed overcoming traditional morality through a radical reevaluation of values, wherein the human being assumes the role of creator of meaning (Heidegger, 1979, p. 72).

**Cultural Transformation:** The death of God necessitates a fundamental shift in societal mentality, prompting a redefinition of existential purpose.

### *2.3. Philosophical Implications of the Concept*

**Nihilism:** Bereft of a transcendent foundation, values become relative, rendering the world vulnerable to existential disintegration (Williams, 1985, p. 180).

**Affirmation of Life:** Nietzsche advocated for an alternative to nihilism through the generation of new values rooted in self-affirmation and the transcendence of Christian moral constraints.

**Individualism:** The notion of personal responsibility and autonomous meaning-making emerges as a central tenet of modern thought.

Secularism, therefore, did not evolve along a linear trajectory but rather through a complex historical process marked by ideological conflicts, cultural transformations, and philosophical reevaluations. From Gnosticism to postmodernity, the relationship between religion and society has been subject to continuous negotiation and redefinition. Through Nietzsche's lens, secularism acquires an existential dimension, confronting humanity with the challenge of meaning-making in a post-transcendent world.

### *2.4. Secularism as Ideology and the Emergence of Secular Religions*

At its core, secularism entails more than the mere separation of church and state. It inevitably functions as a vehicle through which the state enforces its own set of values and anthropological models. The vacuum left by the decline of traditional religion does not remain unoccupied; instead, it is filled by secular ideologies that serve as functional substitutes for religious structures. Historically, we observe a recurring cycle: the state utilizes religion—the church gains dominance over the state—the state reclaims ecclesiastical authority—only for the cycle to repeat at a different scale. In its effort to displace religious influence, the state has engendered new ideologies, often possessing dogmatic and normative characteristics, which have evolved into what are now termed “secular religions.” These include faith in rationalism, naturalism, communism, fascism, neo-Marxism, and transhumanism, each accompanied by its own doctrines and rituals (Gauchet, 1997, p. 140).

To garner adherents, these emerging ideologies necessitate a rupture from traditional religious dogmas. Consequently, atheism, nihilism, and minimalism become dominant paradigms within a secularized society, supplanting older frameworks of meaning and value (Eagleton, 2014, p. 45).

## 2.5. Types of Secularism

Secularism is not a monolithic phenomenon; rather, it varies significantly depending on each nation's historical, cultural, and political context. Thus, multiple forms of secularism can be identified, each characterized by distinct features and varying relationships between the state and religion (Rotaru, 2022, pp.585-595).

### 2.5.1. *Laïcité (French Secularism)*

Laïcité represents the secular model adopted by France, characterized by a strict separation between state and religion. The state neither acknowledges nor supports any religion, deeming religious practice a strictly private affair. The roots of this model lie in the French Revolution and Enlightenment thought, which promoted rationalism and opposition to ecclesiastical authority in the public sphere (Chadwick, 1975, p. 40). A concrete example of this principle is the French legislation that prohibits the display of visible religious symbols in public institutions such as schools and state offices. This form of secularism holds that state neutrality is essential to ensuring an equitable society and to preventing religious influences from impacting political decision-making.

### 2.5.2. *Liberal Secularism (The American Model)*

In contrast to laïcité, the American model of secularism is grounded in the First Amendment of the United States Constitution, which mandates the separation of church and state while simultaneously guaranteeing religious freedom. This framework permits religious expression in the public sphere, provided the state does not officially endorse any particular faith. Accordingly, in the United States, religious symbols may be displayed in public spaces, and political leaders may reference religion in their speeches, so long as no denomination is officially privileged (Greenwalt, 2006, p. 78). This model fosters a balance between freedom of conscience and state neutrality, avoiding both the marginalization of religion and the subordination of government to any religious tradition.

### 2.5.3. *Accommodative Secularism (The Indian Model)*

In India, secularism does not entail a rigid separation of religion from the state, but rather a framework of reciprocal accommodation. The state not only acknowledges the role of religion but also facilitates inter-religious interaction to promote social harmony. For instance, India recognizes official holidays for various religions and permits state intervention in regulating certain religious practices to protect minority rights and ensure social cohesion. This approach recognizes religion as a significant factor in public and political life, with the state serving as a mediator among diverse religious communities (Bhargava, 2010, p. 153).

#### 2.5.4. *Neo-Secularism*

Neo-secularism is a contemporary concept describing a new phase of secularism that emerged in response to late modernity, postmodernism, and globalization. Unlike traditional secularism, which sought strict separation between religion and state, neo-secularism focuses on managing religious pluralism and redefining the role of religion in the public sphere in a globalized context (Turner, 2011, p. 75). This model addresses current challenges such as migration, multiculturalism, and the resurgence of religion in the public arena in certain world regions. As such, neo-secularism attempts to balance religious diversity with the values of liberal secularism without imposing enforced uniformity of belief.

### 3. **Characteristics of Neo-Secularism**

Neo-secularism differs from classical secularism by redefining the relationship between belief and governance in a more flexible and contextually adaptive manner (Turner, 2011, p. 132).

#### 3.1. *Awareness of Religious Pluralism*

A foundational principle of neo-secularism is the recognition of religious diversity in modern societies, resulting from global phenomena such as migration, cultural interconnectedness, and the renewed presence of religion in public life. Rather than advocating for the exclusion of religion from the public sphere, neo-secularism aims to manage this diversity to avoid marginalizing certain religious groups or privileging one over others (Taylor, 2007, p. 300). In this context, neo-secularism calls for legal and institutional frameworks that permit the participation of various religious traditions in public discourse, without compromising the principle of state neutrality. For example, in the European Union, some member states have implemented policies encouraging interfaith dialogue in decision-making processes while maintaining a clear distinction between religious and secular norms (Modood, 2013, p. 95).

#### 3.2. *Reevaluation of Religion's Role in Public Life*

Whereas classical secularism promoted a rigid division between church and state, neo-secularism reconsiders this stance, acknowledging that religion can contribute to public debates, particularly on issues related to ethics, morality, and cultural identity. Nonetheless, such participation must remain grounded in rational argumentation and must not contravene democratic principles or compromise governmental neutrality. In the United States, for example, certain religious groups participate in discussions on civil rights, environmental protection, and social policies, without these contributions constituting an imposition of official religious doctrine. Neo-secularism thus offers a flexible framework in which

religion is neither excluded nor allowed to dominate political and legal structures (Feldman, 2005, p. 250).

### **3.3. *Rejection of Religious and Political Fundamentalism***

Neo-secularism maintains a firm commitment to freedom of thought and conscience while opposing all forms of religious or political fundamentalism that threaten democratic balance. This stance is particularly relevant in the current era, marked by the resurgence of extremist movements—whether religious or secular nationalist. Therefore, neo-secularism promotes a moderate approach, wherein no doctrine—religious or ideological—is permitted to monopolize the public sphere. This entails not only a rejection of theocracy but also resistance to extreme atheistic ideologies that seek to eliminate all religious influence from society (Eagleton, 2014, p. 87).

### **3.4. *Commitment to Universal Human Rights***

Another defining aspect of neo-secularism is its commitment to universal human rights, including religious freedom, gender equality, and minority protection. Unlike classical secularism, which sometimes restricted religious expression in the name of state neutrality, neo-secularism seeks a more inclusive approach. This approach involves integrating religious communities into social and political processes, provided their contributions respect democratic values and fundamental rights. For example, in Canada and several European countries, authorities collaborate with religious leaders in areas such as civic education, extremism prevention, and migrant integration. Thus, neo-secularism represents not merely a form of state neutrality but a model for managing diversity in ways that balance religious pluralism with democratic principles.

## **4. Differences Between Neo-Secularism and Classical Secularism**

Classical secularism and neo-secularism represent two distinct paradigms regarding the relationship between state and religion. Rooted in the Enlightenment and the French Revolution, classical secularism promotes a strict separation between religion and state, operating on the premise that religion must be excluded from the public sphere to ensure institutional neutrality. This model has been particularly enforced in France through the doctrine of *laïcité*, where the state not only refrains from supporting religion but also imposes restrictions on its manifestation in public institutions. In contrast, neo-secularism adopts a more flexible approach, recognizing that religion may play a constructive role in public life as long as it does not undermine the democratic character of the state. The emphasis shifts from the complete elimination of religious influence to its balanced management within a pluralistic and multicultural context. This shift represents a fundamental paradigmatic transformation: while classical secularism is grounded



in the principle of separation, neo-secularism operates on the principle of controlled integration (Modood, 2013, p. 58).

This transition is particularly visible in Western democracies, where politics and public morality cannot be entirely detached from religious values, even though the state remains formally neutral. For instance, in the United Kingdom and the United States, politicians continue to reference their religious beliefs in public speeches without violating the principle of church-state separation.

#### ***4.1. The Evolution of Neo-Secularism***

Neo-secularism emerged in response to the new challenges posed by globalization and religious diversity, which have placed classical secularism under strain. Since the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries, religion has reemerged in political and social arenas, challenging the ability of secular states to preserve social cohesion. This resurgence stems not only from organized religious movements but also from the existential needs of modern individuals seeking meaning, identity, and stability in an increasingly fluid cultural and ideological landscape (Asad, 2003, p. 160). In this context, Western states have been compelled to redefine secularism to address new social realities without reverting to theocratic or religiously dominated governance. This reconfiguration has involved the adoption of policies that facilitate interaction between religion and state without compromising the state's non-confessional stance.

A clear example of this transition is observable in the United States, where ongoing debates about religion's role in education and politics reflect the neo-secular dynamic. Despite the First Amendment's guarantee of church-state separation, religion continues to exert significant influence on political discourse, and political leaders are not inhibited from expressing religious convictions. This scenario illustrates that neo-secularism does not exclude religion from the public sphere but rather seeks to integrate it in a manner compatible with democratic values (Feldman, 2005, p. 215). Therefore, whereas classical secularism is defined by the concept of "separation," neo-secularism is characterized by "integration," signifying a fundamental shift in how modern societies approach religious pluralism and freedom of conscience.

## **5. Secular Religions: A Critical Analysis**

### ***5.1. Secular Religions as an Ideological Phenomenon***

Secularism was initially conceived as a project aimed at delineating the boundaries between religion and politics, thereby diminishing the influence of religious structures on the state. However, with the advancement of modernity, a parallel phenomenon has emerged: secular religions. These are political and social ideologies that adopt structures analogous to traditional religions but within a purely secular framework, devoid of any reference to divine transcendence. In this

way, secular religions function as belief systems that offer meaning, purpose, and values, accompanied by rituals, symbols, and behavioral norms that mobilize communities of adherents.

## 5.2. *The Social Functions of Secular Religions*

Traditional religions, deeply anchored in a transcendent dimension, provide immutable moral frameworks believed to be of divine origin. For example, Christianity offers a universal moral code through the Ten Commandments, which transcend temporal political or social changes (Taylor, 2007, p. 45). Emphasizing the importance of universal religious values, Brie believes that a profound interfaith dialogue between secular religions can only be achieved in the context of affirming common religious and ethical values (Brie, 2024, pp. 714-736). Secular religions, by contrast, appropriate this moralizing function and apply it within a strictly immanent context. Beyond morality, traditional religions play a critical role in constructing collective identity and maintaining social order. They unite groups around shared values, forming a stable normative and communal framework. Secular religions operate similarly, utilizing ideological mechanisms to foster collective belonging.

## 5.3. *Examples of Secular Religions*

### 5.3.1. *Communism and Fascism: Immanent Soteriologies*

Communism and fascism are ideologies that promise a form of terrestrial "salvation." Communism envisions an egalitarian, classless society, while fascism promotes national purity and collective strength (Asad, 2003, p. 160). These belief systems establish structures comparable to religions, featuring sacred texts (e.g., Marx's *Capital*, Hitler's *Mein Kampf*), deified charismatic leaders (e.g., Lenin, Stalin, Mussolini, Hitler), and collective rituals (e.g., parades, anthems, rallies) (Eagleton, 2014, p. 98).

### 5.3.2. *Radical Nationalism: The Nation as a Sacred Entity*

Extreme nationalism elevates the nation to a sacrosanct status, transforming it into the ultimate source of identity and loyalty. The portrayal of the nation as a quasi-divine entity justifies the exclusion or elimination of those deemed "enemies of the people." This type of ideology fosters a profound sense of belonging, offering believers a higher cause for which to struggle.

### 5.3.3. *Radical Environmentalism: The Sacralization of Nature*

Radical environmentalism can be regarded as a secular religion due to its sacralization of nature and framing environmental protection as the ultimate goal of human existence. Public demonstrations, sacred symbols (e.g., sacred forests, protected animals), discourses on "ecological sin," and collective calls for "planetary salvation" mirror religious mechanisms.

#### **5.4. Characteristics of Secular Religions**

Secular religions exhibit characteristics that mirror the structure and functions of traditional religions, despite lacking a transcendent dimension. They establish dogmatic belief systems where ideologies are rendered absolute, mobilizing followers through collective practices and by excluding those perceived as adversaries of the dominant doctrine.

##### *5.4.1. Absolute Ideological Dogma*

Secular religions are defined by rigid doctrines offering totalizing interpretations of reality. These ideologies allow no alternatives and are imposed as incontrovertible truths. For instance, communism interprets history solely through the lens of class struggle, while Nazism emphasizes racial superiority (Courtois, 1999, p. 35). Such doctrines eliminate pluralism and suppress dissenting perspectives.

##### *5.4.2. The Cult of Leadership*

Authoritarian figures are elevated to messianic status, presenting themselves as saviors of a new social order. Leaders like Stalin, Mao Zedong, and Hitler were venerated in ways akin to religious leaders. In totalitarian regimes, their images are omnipresent, and their speeches regarded as sacred directives.

##### *5.4.3. Sacred Symbols and Rituals*

Secular religions develop elaborate symbolic systems, including flags, uniforms, parades, and ideological slogans. These elements function like religious symbols, fostering collective identity and belonging. In totalitarian regimes, political ceremonies and parades replicate the structure of religious rituals, reinforcing loyalty to the ideology.

##### *5.4.4. The Promise of Secular Salvation*

A central aspect of secular religions is the promise of a form of earthly "salvation." Communism pledges an egalitarian society; fascism envisions national rebirth; and radical environmentalism promises planetary purification through the elimination of ecologically harmful practices (Griffin, 2007, p. 195). Such utopian visions justify extreme measures in pursuit of a better future.

##### *5.4.5. Sacred Struggle Against Enemies*

Secular religions delineate a strict binary between "good" and "evil," identifying ideological adversaries as corrupting elements to be eliminated. In communism, the bourgeoisie and capitalists are deemed enemies of the proletariat; in fascism, ethnic and political minorities are viewed as threats to national purity. This mechanism of exclusion legitimizes violence and repression.

#### 5.4.6. *Emotional Mobilization*

Propaganda and mass demonstrations are used to generate collective fervor and fanatical loyalty. Totalitarian regimes leverage these tools to manipulate public emotions, creating a climate of artificial enthusiasm and psychological control.

#### 5.4.7. *Sacrifice for the Cause*

Adherents of secular religions are often willing to forgo personal life—and, in extreme cases, even die—for the ideals of the ideology. The notion of political “martyrdom” is frequently exploited in totalitarian regimes, where individuals are encouraged to sacrifice themselves for the collective good. This dynamic parallels the concept of sacrifice found in traditional religions, albeit in a purely secular context.

#### 5.4.8. *Control of Thought*

Secular religions enforce strict control over thought and public discourse. Any form of dissent is treated as heresy and punished severely. In totalitarian systems, censorship and propaganda are crucial tools for maintaining ideological dominance. Criticizing the official doctrine is tantamount to treason and may result in harsh reprisals.

#### 5.4.9. *Formation of Devotee Communities*

Secular religions construct exclusive collective identities wherein group membership is perceived as a form of moral and ideological superiority. These communities adopt a binary worldview of “us versus them,” viewing outsiders as ignorant or even enemies of the “truth” (Eagleton, 2014, p. 211). Secular religions reveal that the human need for meaning, belonging, and morality does not vanish with secularization. As traditional religions lose influence, secular ideologies assume their functions, replicating religious structures in a framework devoid of transcendence. Through mechanisms of dogmatization, suppression of dissent, and utopian promises, secular religions echo the dynamics of classical religions while operating in an immanent register.

### 6. Differences and Similarities Between Traditional and Secular Religions

Secular religions represent a modern ideological phenomenon that adopts many of the functions and structures of traditional religions but within a secular framework. They offer a worldview, rituals, symbols, and the promise of terrestrial “salvation,” without invoking any transcendent dimension.

#### 6.1. *Communism and Fascism as Secular Religions*

##### 6.1.1. *Communism: A Secular Religion of Equality*

Communism, especially in its totalitarian form, can be interpreted as a secular religion, as it presents a utopian promise—the realization of a perfect, classless

society. It is grounded in a rigid doctrine, sacred texts (e.g., *The Communist Manifesto* and *Capital* by Karl Marx), a prophetic figure (Karl Marx), a messianic leader (Lenin or Stalin), and an eschatological vision of global communism as the ultimate "salvation" (Furet, 1999, p. 74). Personal sacrifice for the collective good and unwavering loyalty to the party mirror religious devotion, while deviations from orthodoxy are treated as heresies and severely punished.

#### 6.1.2. *Fascism: The Nation as Divinity*

Fascism similarly functions as a secular religion, offering a dualistic worldview in which the nation becomes the primary sacred value and perceived enemies are designated as "impure" elements to be eradicated. Authoritarian leaders such as Mussolini and Hitler were elevated to quasi-messianic figures, and the state produced symbols, rituals, and ceremonies to solidify public allegiance (Paxton, 2004, p. 112).

### 6.2. *Technologism and Transhumanism as Secular Religions*

#### 6.2.1. *Technologism: Faith in Infinite Progress*

Technologism is an ideology that views technological advancement as the ultimate solution to humanity's problems. It functions as a secular religion by promising a radical transformation of human society and condition, offering symbolic icons (e.g., artificial intelligence, humanoid robots) and prophetic leaders (e.g., Elon Musk, Mark Zuckerberg) who envision a glorious human future (Kurzweil, 2005, p. 210).

#### 6.2.2. *Transhumanism: The Utopia of Surpassing Human Limitations*

Transhumanism is defined as an intellectual and cultural movement that advocates for the enhancement of the human condition through technological progress, promising a form of "salvation" by overcoming biological limitations. This ideology suggests that death and suffering can be eliminated through genetic modification, cybernetic implants, and artificial intelligence. The term "transhumanism" was popularized in the 1980s by the futurist philosopher Max More, but its intellectual roots trace back to thinkers like Friedrich Nietzsche, who introduced the concept of the "Übermensch"—an individual who transcends moral and biological constraints. In this regard, transhumanism may be viewed as a continuation of the Nietzschean ideal, realized through technological means.

The ultimate aim of transhumanism is the emergence of a new evolutionary stage—posthumanism—in which human beings are radically transformed by technology. These "posthumans" would constitute an enhanced species capable of transcending traditional biological limitations. Secular religions reflect the persistent human need for meaning, belonging, and redemptive narratives, albeit in an immanent register. From communism and fascism, which offer salvation through social order and national purification, to transhumanism and technologism, which promise human perfection via scientific advancement, these

ideologies adopt the structures and mechanisms of traditional religion. Secularization, far from extinguishing the religious impulse, has transfigured it into alternative belief systems that now dominate contemporary discourse.

## **7. The Dangers of Secular Religions**

While secular religions captivate with their promises of order and progress, they also conceal fundamental dangers that may threaten social and moral stability. In the absence of a transcendent dimension, such ideologies risk devolving into totalitarian belief systems that justify extreme actions in pursuit of utopian visions.

### ***7.1. Totalitarianism and Repression***

One of the gravest risks associated with secular religions is their tendency toward totalitarianism. Unlike traditional religions, which often tolerate a degree of pluralism and belief diversity, secular religions impose an absolute worldview that excludes dissent (Courtois, 1999, p. 112). For example, although communism promised a society free from inequality, this ideal was implemented through repressive regimes. Communist governments violently suppressed opposition, using forced labor camps, purges, and executions to maintain ideological control. Similarly, fascism elevated the nation to a supreme status, and those who failed to conform—ethnic minorities, political dissidents—were deemed enemies of the state and eliminated.

### ***7.2. Absence of a Transcendent Ethical Framework***

Traditional religions provide moral systems rooted in transcendence, offering universal ethical principles that regulate human relations and promote justice, peace, and social stability (Taylor, 2007, p. 45). Concepts such as forgiveness, compassion, and charity are fundamental to classical religious teachings. By contrast, secular religions lack this transcendent foundation. They rely on utopian, immanent visions, with moral norms often dictated by transient political and social interests. This moral flexibility can lead to dangerous relativism, where any act—however unethical—can be justified in the name of the ideal. In communism, for instance, repression and human sacrifice were justified as necessary steps toward building a classless society, despite their violent and unjust nature.

### ***7.3. Mobilization Through Fanaticism***

Like traditional religions, secular religions possess a remarkable capacity to mobilize masses. The key difference lies in their methods. While traditional religions typically promote unity and harmony, secular religions often resort to fanaticism and hatred to reinforce allegiance (Aron, 1990, p. 98). Fascism, for example, mobilized populations through extremist nationalism, fostering an atmosphere of conflict and exclusion. Totalitarian ideologies created a rigid division between “us” and “them,” where only those adhering to the dominant

doctrine were deemed legitimate citizens. This Manichaean mindset led to systematic persecution and the justification of violence against those labeled as “enemies of the people.”

## 8. Conclusion

This paper has examined the phenomenon of neo-secularism and the emergence of secular religions as powerful ideological substitutes for traditional faith systems in contemporary society. While classical secularism advocated for the separation of church and state, neo-secularism marks a paradigmatic shift toward a more integrative and pluralistic approach—one that acknowledges religion's enduring influence in public discourse while seeking to uphold democratic values and universal human rights. At the same time, the study has revealed that the human impulse toward meaning-making, moral orientation, and collective identity does not dissipate in secular societies; instead, it is redirected into immanent frameworks that replicate the structures of religion. Secular ideologies such as communism, fascism, radical environmentalism, and transhumanism emerge as functional analogs of traditional religions, complete with dogmas, rituals, symbols, eschatologies, and mechanisms for mobilization. These belief systems, though devoid of transcendence, often adopt totalizing worldviews and generate strong emotional allegiance among their adherents.

The analysis has further highlighted the risks associated with secular religions, including their susceptibility to totalitarianism, moral relativism, and the use of fanaticism as a tool for control. In the absence of a transcendent ethical anchor, these systems may justify repression, violence, and the erosion of individual freedoms in pursuit of utopian visions. Thus, secularization does not equate to the disappearance of religion, but rather to its transformation into ideologically charged substitutes with profound socio-political consequences. In light of these findings, this paper underscores the necessity of maintaining a critical balance between modern secular frameworks and the ethical, symbolic, and communal resources offered by religious traditions. Such equilibrium is essential for safeguarding social cohesion, moral clarity, and human dignity in an increasingly pluralistic and ideologically fragmented world.

## References

- Aron, R. (1990). *Democracy and Totalitarianism*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- Asad, T. (2003). *Formations of the Secular: Christianity, Islam, Modernity*. Stanford University Press.
- Bhargava, R. (2010). *The Promise of India's Secular Democracy*. Oxford University Press.
- Brie, I. (2024). Valorile teologice, spirituale și etice din învățătura lui Isus – fundament pentru dialogul interconfesional în Europa contemporană. *Journal for Freedom of Conscience* 12 (3), 714-735.
- Chadwick, O. (1975). *The Secularization of the European Mind in the Nineteenth Century*. Cambridge University Press.
- Conway, D. (1997). *Nietzsche and the Political*. Routledge.

- Courtois, S. (1999). *The Black Book of Communism: Crimes, Terror, Repression*. Harvard University Press.
- Eagleton, T. (2014). *Culture and the Death of God*. Yale University Press.
- Eliade, M. (1995). *Sacrul și profanul*. Humanitas.
- Feldman, N. (2005). *Divided by God: America's Church-State Problem—and What We Should Do About It*. Straus and Giroux.
- Furet, F. (1999). *The Passing of an Illusion: The Idea of Communism in the Twentieth Century*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Gauchet, M. (1997). *The Disenchantment of the World: A Political History of Religion*. Princeton University Press.
- Gilson, E. (1991). *The Spirit of Medieval Philosophy*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- Greenwalt, K. (2006). *Religion and the Constitution, Volume 1: Free Exercise and Fairness*. Princeton University Press.
- Griffin, R. (2007). *Modernism and Fascism: The Sense of a Beginning under Mussolini and Hitler*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Heidegger, M. (2001). *Nietzsche: The Will to Power as Art*. Harper & Row.
- Jonas, H. (2001). *The Gnostic Religion. The Message of the Alien God and the Beginnings of Christianity*. Beacon Press.
- Kant, I. (1972). Answer to the question: What is Enlightenment? In *Scrieri* (Vol. II). Scientific Publishing House.
- Kurzweil, R. (2005). *The Singularity is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*. Viking.
- Modood, T. (2013). *Multiculturalism: A Civic Idea*. Polity Press.
- Nietzsche, F. (2001). *The Gay Science*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nietzsche, F. (2011). *Așa grăit-a Zarathustra*. Humanitas Publishing House.
- Pagels, E. (1979). *The Gnostic Gospels*. Random House.
- Paxton, R. (2004). *The Anatomy of Fascism*. Knopf.
- Rakove, J. (1997). *Original Meanings: Politics and Ideas in the Making of the Constitution*. Vintage.
- Rotaru, I-Gh. (2006). Aspecte ale secularizării și ale omului secularizat. *Studia Universitatis Babeș-Bolyai, Theologia Orthodoxa*, L-LI (1), 251-266.
- Rotaru, I-Gh. (2022). Aspects of the Relationship between Church and State. *Journal for Freedom of Conscience*, 10(2), 585-595.
- Rotaru, I-Gh. (2023). A look at how the concept of human rights has evolved over time. *Journal For Freedom of Conscience*, 11(2), 825-874.
- Tackett, T. (1986). *Religion, Revolution, and Regional Culture in Eighteenth-Century France*. Princeton University Press.
- Taylor, C. (1985). *A Secular Age*. Harvard University Press.
- Taylor, C. (2007). *A Secular Age*. Harvard University Press.
- Turner, B. (2011). *Religion and Modern Society: Citizenship, Secularisation and the State*. Cambridge University Press.
- Williams, B. (1985). *Ethics and the Limits of Philosophy*. Harvard University Press.